

MINIMAL HOMEOMORPHISMS ON LOW-DIMENSION TORI

N. M. DOS SANTOS[†] AND R. URZÚA-LUZ[‡]

ABSTRACT. In this article we study minimal homeomorphisms (all orbits are dense) of the tori T^n , $n < 5$. The linear part of a homeomorphism φ of T^n is the linear mapping L induced by φ on the first homology group of T^n . It follows from the Lefschetz fixed point theorem that 1 is an eigenvalue of L if φ is minimal. We show that if φ is minimal and $n < 5$ then L is quasi-unipotent, i.e., all the eigenvalues of L are roots of unity and conversely if $L \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is quasi-unipotent and 1 is an eigenvalue of L then there exists a C^∞ minimal skew-product diffeomorphism φ of T^n whose linear part is precisely L . We do not know if these results are true for $n > 4$. We give a sufficient condition for a smooth skew-product diffeomorphism of a torus of arbitrary dimension to be smoothly conjugate to an affine transformation.

1. MINIMAL HOMEOMORPHISMS ON LOW-DIMENSION TORI

We first prove

Proposition 1.1. *Let φ be a minimal homeomorphism of a torus T^n and L be the induced mapping on $H_1(T^n, \mathbb{Z})$. Then the minimal polynomial $p(x)$ of L can not be decomposed over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, as $p(x) = q(x)r(x)$ where all the roots of $q(x)$ are roots of unity and $r(x)$ is not constant with no roots in the unit circle.*

Proof. Assume that $p(x)$ has such a decomposition. Then by the Primary Decomposition Theorem we have an invariant direct sum decomposition over $\mathbb{Q}$

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{R}^n = E \oplus V$$

where the restriction B of L to V is hyperbolic. Now $\Gamma = V \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a discrete cocompact subgroup of V and $M = V/\Gamma$ is homeomorphic to a torus T^k , $k < n$.

[†] Corresponding address: Rua Lopes Quintas, 225 ap. 401-A, Jardim Botânico, Rio de Janeiro, Cep 22460-010. Brazil.

[‡] Partially supported by Chilean FONDECYT Grant N.1060977.

Let b be the hyperbolic diffeomorphism of M induced by B and φ be given on the covering by $L + F$, where $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and $F(x + \ell) = F(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We claim that b is a factor of φ . For, consider the continuous surjective mapping $h : T^n \rightarrow M$ given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$(2) \quad h(x) = P(x) + H(x)$$

where $P : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$ is the projection associated to the decomposition (1) and $H : T^n \rightarrow V$ is a continuous solution of the cohomological equation

$$(3) \quad BH(x) - H(\varphi(x)) = P(F(x))$$

Now since B is hyperbolic then a continuous solution of (3) exists see [1] [Theorem 2.9.2] and since $P \circ L = B \circ P$ then $h \circ \varphi = b \circ h$. Observing that $h \circ \varphi^\ell = b^\ell \circ h$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and since h is surjective we see that φ can not be minimal because b has periodic points. $\square$

Theorem 1. *Any minimal homeomorphism φ of a torus T^n , $n < 5$ is quasi-unipotent on the homology and 1 is an eigenvalue of its linear part.*

Proof. Minimality of φ and the Lefschetz fixed point Theorem shows that 1 is a root of the minimal polynomial $p(x)$ of the linear part L of φ . Thus $p(x) = (x - 1)s(x)$ where $\deg s(x) < 4$, since $\deg p < 5$.

If $\deg s(x) = 3$ then $s(x)$ factors over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ as $(x \pm 1)q(x)$ and by Proposition 1 all the roots of q are roots of unity. If $\deg s(x) < 3$ again by Proposition 1 all the roots of $s(x)$ are roots of unity. $\square$

We do not know if the above Theorem is true if $n > 4$. There are irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ with roots of absolute value 1 and roots of absolute value different of 1.

Example 1.2. *Eisenstein's criterion shows that the polynomial $p(x) = x^4 + 4x^3 - 6x^2 + 4x + 1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and as*

$$p(x) = (x^2 + 2(1 - \sqrt{3})x + 1)(x^2 + 2(1 + \sqrt{3})x + 1)$$

we can see that

$$(\sqrt{3} - 1) \pm i\lambda, \quad \lambda = \sqrt{(1 - (\sqrt{3} - 1)^2)}$$

are roots of absolute value 1 and they are not roots of unity and the other two roots of $p(x)$ have absolute value different from 1.

2. MINIMAL SKEW-PRODUCT TRANSFORMATIONS OF THE TORUS.

In this section we show that every quasi-unipotent matrix $L \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, $n < 5$ with 1 as eigenvalue is the linear part of a smooth minimal skew-product transformation of the torus T^n . Actually the skew - products are of the particular type given in (5). Notice that T^{n-p} acts freely on $T^p \times T^{n-p}$ by translation on the second factor. Thus if a homeomorphism ψ of T^n commutes with this action it induces a homeomorphism ψ_0 of the orbit space T^n/T^{n-p} which is homeomorphic to the torus T^p and we say that (T^n, ψ) is a free T^{n-p} -extension of (T^p, ψ_0) [8]

Theorem 2. *Let $L \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, $n < 5$ be quasi-unipotent having 1 as an eigenvalue. Then there exists a minimal smooth skew-product diffeomorphism φ of the torus T^n whose linear part is L .*

Proof. We may assume that by [Newman] [6]

$$(4) \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathbf{0} \\ C & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A \in GL(p, \mathbb{Z})$, $A = I + N_1$ and $B \in GL(n-p, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $B^m = I + N_2$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, where N_1 and N_2 are nilpotent.

Let φ be diffeomorphism of T^n given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$(5) \quad \varphi(X, Y) = (AX + \alpha, CX + BY + F(X))$$

where $a(X) = AX + \alpha$ gives an affine minimal transformation of T^p [2] and $F : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ is a smooth $\mathbb{Z}^p$ -periodic function, i.e., $F(X + \ell) = F(X)$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^p$

The iterates of φ are given by

$$(6) \quad \varphi^m(X, Y) = (a^m(X), C_m X + B^m Y + \alpha(m) + F_m(X))$$

where

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} C_l X &= \sum_{j=1}^l B^{l-j} C A^{j-1} \\ \alpha(m) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m C_{m-j} \right) \alpha \\ F_m(X) &= \sum_{j=1}^m B^{m-j} F(a^{j-1}(X)) \end{aligned}$$

Recall that an action is *simple* if for each character $\gamma \in \hat{T}^{n-p}$ there exist a continuous function $f_\gamma : T^n \rightarrow T^1$ and $g : T^p \rightarrow T^1$ such that

$$(8) \quad f_\gamma(z, w) = g(z)\gamma(w)$$

for every $z \in T^p$ and $w \in T^{n-p}$.

Let ν be the endomorphism of T^{n-p} given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ by the nilpotent matrix N_2 and consider the subgroup $H = \ker \nu$ of T^{n-p} . Notice that $\psi = \varphi^m$ is invariant under the restriction of the action of T^{n-p} to the subgroup H , i.e.,

$$(9) \quad \psi(h \cdot (z, w)) = h \cdot \psi(z, w)$$

$h \in H$

From now on we will assume that the diffeomorphism ψ_0 of T^n/H induced by ψ is either minimal or uniquely ergodic.

Let $\pi : T^n \rightarrow T^n/H$ be the projection. By [Parry, Theorem 1] [8] ψ is minimal(uniquely ergodic) if only if the equation

$$(10) \quad \frac{f(\psi_0(\pi(z, w)))}{f(z, w)} = \frac{f_\gamma(\psi(z, w))}{f_\gamma(z, w)}$$

has no continuous(measurable) solution for each character $\gamma \in \hat{H}$, $\gamma \neq 1$. Observe that condition in (10) does not depend on the choice of the particular function f_γ . Notice that the functions $f : T^n/H \rightarrow T^1$ are given by the H -invariant functions $f : T^n \rightarrow T^1$, i.e., $f(z, hw) = f(z, w)$ for all $h \in H$.

If L is unipotent then there exists a minimal affine diffeomorphism of T^n [2]. Thus it suffices consider L quasi-unipotent but not unipotent.

Suppose $n = 2$. We may assume [6]

$$(11) \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $\varphi(x, y) = (x + \alpha, sx - y + F(x))$. Thus

$$\psi(x, y) = \varphi^2(x, y) = (x + 2\alpha, s\alpha + y - F(x) + F(x + \alpha))$$

It is easy to see that (T^2, ψ) is simple free T^1 -extension of the translation of T^1 given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^1$ by

$$\psi_0(x) = x + 2\alpha.$$

We choose a Liouville number α and a sequence $\{k_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that

$$|e^{2\pi i k_j \alpha} + 1| < \frac{4\pi}{(k_j)^j}$$

see [Appendix] and $F : T^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the Fourier transform

$$(12) \quad \hat{F}(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq \pm k_j \\ 1 + e^{\pm 2\pi i k_j \alpha} & \text{if } k = \pm k_j \end{cases}$$

By (10) it suffices to show that the cohomological equation

$$(13) \quad \frac{f(\psi_0(x))}{f(x)} = \frac{f_\gamma(\psi(x, y))}{f_\gamma(x, y)}$$

where f is given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^1$ by $f(x) = \ell x + G(x)$ and f_γ is given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $f_\gamma(x, y) = \ell_\gamma y$, ℓ and ℓ_γ in $\mathbb{Z}$, has no continuous solution $f : T^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$. This is equivalent to show that the equation

$$(14) \quad \ell\alpha + G(x + 2\alpha) - G(x) = \ell_\gamma s\alpha + \ell_\gamma [F(x + \alpha) - F(x)]$$

has no continuous solution G . If $\ell \neq \ell_\gamma$ then one see that (14) has no continuous solution G . If $\ell = s\ell_\gamma$ the equation (14) becomes

$$G(x + 2\alpha) - G(x) = \ell_\gamma [F(x + \alpha) - F(x)]$$

and gives the Fourier coefficients equations

$$(15) \quad \hat{G}(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq \pm k_j \\ \ell_\gamma & \text{if } k = \pm k_j \end{cases}$$

which by choice of F does not give a L^1 -solution G .

Suppose now $n = 3$. There are two possibilities for the characteristic polynomial $p(x)$ of L , $p(x) = (x - 1)q(x)$ or $p(x) = (x - 1)^2(x + 1)$ where $q(1) \neq 0$.

If $p(x) = (x - 1)q(x)$ then we assume that [6]

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ C & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where $B \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is quasi-unipotent and 1 is not an eigenvalue of B .

Thus either B is periodic with period $m = 3, 4, 6$ or $B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ s & -1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and by (5) the diffeomorphism φ is given by

$$(16) \quad \varphi(x, Y) = (x + \alpha, Cx + BY + F(x))$$

where $Y = (y, z)$ and $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic function.

If B periodic then by (6)

$$\psi(x, Y) = \varphi^m(x, Y) = (x + m\alpha, Y + \alpha(m) + F_m(x))$$

since $C_m = 0$.

Hence (T^3, ψ) is a simple free T^2 -extension of the translation of T^1 given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^1$ by

$$\psi_0(x) = x + \alpha$$

Choose by [Appendix] a Liouville number α and a sequence of integers $\{k_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$(17) \quad |e^{2\pi i k_j \alpha} - e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}| < \frac{4\pi}{(k_j)^j}.$$

By [8] ψ is a minimal diffeomorphism if the equation (10) has no continuous solution $f(x) = e^{2\pi i [\ell x + G(x)]}$ where $f_\gamma(x, y, z) = e^{2\pi i \langle \ell_\gamma, (y, z) \rangle}$ or equivalently the equation

$$(18) \quad m\ell\alpha + G(x + \alpha) - G(x) = \langle \ell_\gamma, \alpha(m) + F_m(x) \rangle$$

has no continuous solution G . If $m\ell\alpha \neq \langle \ell_\gamma, \alpha(m) \rangle$ then one see that (18) has no continuous solutions G . If $m\ell\alpha = \langle \ell_\gamma, \alpha(m) \rangle$ the equation (18) becomes

$$(19) \quad G(x + m\alpha) - G(x) = \langle \ell_\gamma, F_m(x) \rangle$$

or in Fourier Coefficients

$$(20) \quad \hat{G}(k) = \left\langle \ell_\gamma, (e^{2\pi i k \alpha} I - B)^{-1} \hat{F}(k) \right\rangle$$

Consider $F : T^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ the smooth function given by the Fourier transform

$$(21) \quad \hat{F}(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & k \neq \pm k_j \\ (e^{2\pi i k_j \alpha} - e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}})V & k = k_j \\ (e^{-2\pi i k_j \alpha} - e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{m}})\bar{V} & k = -k_j \end{cases}$$

where V is the eigenvector of B associate to the eigenvalue $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}(k_j) &= \left\langle \ell_\gamma, (e^{2\pi i k_j \alpha} I - B)^{-1} \hat{F}(k_j) \right\rangle = \langle \ell_\gamma, V \rangle \\ \hat{G}(-k_j) &= \left\langle \ell_\gamma, (e^{-2\pi i k_j \alpha} I - B)^{-1} \hat{F}(-k_j) \right\rangle = \langle \ell_\gamma, \bar{V} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

As the period of B is $m > 2$ then $\langle \ell_\gamma, V \rangle \neq 0$ for all $\ell_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^2 - \{0\}$. Thus by choice of F the equation (19) has no L^1 -solution.

If $B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ s & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ then by (16)

$$(22) \quad \varphi(x, Y) = (x + \alpha, Cx + BY + F(x))$$

Thus

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \varphi^2(x, y, z) = (x + 2\alpha, C_2x + \alpha(2) + B^2Y + F_2(x))$$

If $s = 0$, (T^3, ψ) is a simple free T^2 -extension of the translation (T_1, ψ_0) , given in covering $\mathbb{R}^1$ by $\psi_0(x) = x + 2\alpha$.

By [8] ψ is a minimal diffeomorphism if the equation (10) has no continuous solution $f(x) = e^{2\pi i[\ell x + G(x)]}$, where $f_\gamma(x, y, z) = e^{2\pi i\langle \ell_\gamma, (y, z) \rangle}$ or equivalently the equation

$$(23) \quad m\ell\alpha + G(x + 2\alpha) - G(x) = \langle \ell_\gamma, \alpha(2) + F_2(x) \rangle$$

has no continuous solution G

If $2\ell\alpha \neq \langle \ell_\gamma, \alpha(2) \rangle$ then one see that (23) has no continuous solutions G . If $2\ell\alpha = \langle \ell_\gamma, \alpha(2) \rangle$ the equation becomes

$$G(x + 2\alpha) - G(x) = \langle \ell_\gamma, F_2(x) \rangle$$

or in Fourier Coefficients

$$\hat{G}(k) = \left\langle \ell_\gamma, (e^{2\pi i k \alpha} + 1)^{-1} \hat{F}(k) \right\rangle$$

Consider $F : T^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ the smooth function given by the Fourier transform

$$\hat{F}(k) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & k \neq \pm k_j \\ (e^{\pm 2\pi i k_j \alpha} + 1)V & k = \pm k_j \end{cases}$$

If the vector $V = (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and a and b are linearly independent over rational numbers, then by the choice of F the equation (23) has no L^1 -solution.

If $s \neq 0$, consider the diffeomorphism

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = (x + \alpha, px - y + F_1(x), qx + sy - z)$$

such that $\varphi_0(x, y) = (x + \alpha, px - y + F_1(x))$ is minimal. Hence $(T^3, \psi = \varphi^2)$ is a simple free T^1 -extension of the diffeomorphism (T^2, ψ_0) , given on covering $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $\varphi_0^2(x, y) = \psi_0(x, y) = (x + 2\alpha, y + p\alpha - F_1(x) + F_1(x + \alpha))$. By [8] ψ is a minimal diffeomorphism if the equation (10) has no continuous solution $f(x, y) = e^{2\pi i[\langle \ell, (x, y) \rangle + G(x, y)]}$, where $f_\gamma(x, y, z) = e^{2\pi i\ell_\gamma, z}$ or equivalently the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \ell, (2\alpha, p\alpha + F_1(x + \alpha) - F_1(x)) \rangle + G(\psi_0(x, y)) - G(x, y) \\ = \ell_\gamma [spx - 2sy + q\alpha + sF_1(x)] \end{aligned}$$

has no continuous solution G . This is so since the right hand side of the above equation is not a periodic function for ℓ_γ since $s \neq 0$.

If $p(x) = (x - 1)^2(x + 1)$ then we assume that [6]

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 1 & 0 \\ q & s & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

by (5) the diffeomorphism φ is given by

$$\varphi(X, z) = (AX + \delta, CX - z + F(x)) = (a(X), CX - z + F(x))$$

where $X = (x, y)$ and $\delta = (\alpha, \beta)$ and F a periodic smooth function, then

$$\psi(X, z) = \varphi^2(X, z) = (a^2(X), C_2X + z + \alpha(2) + F_2(x))$$

Hence (T^3, ψ) is a simple free T^1 -extension of the minimal affine transformation (T^2, ψ_0) , given on covering $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $\psi_0(X) = a^2(X)$. Again, by [8] ψ is a minimal diffeomorphism if the equation (10) has no continuous solution $f(X) = e^{2\pi i[\langle \ell, X \rangle + G(X)]}$, where $f_\gamma(X, z) = e^{2\pi i \ell_\gamma z}$ or equivalently the equation

$$(24) \quad \langle \ell, a^2(X) - X \rangle + G(\psi_0(X)) - G(X) = \ell_\gamma [C_2X + \alpha(2) + F_2(x)]$$

has no continuous solution G . If $\langle \ell, a^2(X) - X \rangle \neq \ell_\gamma [C_2X + \alpha(2)]$ then one see that (24) has no continuous solutions G .

If $\langle \ell, a^2(X) - X \rangle = \ell_\gamma [C_2X + \alpha(2)]$ the equation (24) becomes

$$(25) \quad G(\psi_0(X)) - G(X) = \ell_\gamma F_2(x)$$

If $F : T^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given in Fourier coefficients as in (12) the equation (25) has no continuous solution G .

Finally suppose that $n = 4$. There are three possibilities for the characteristic polynomial $p(x)$ of L , $p(x) = (x - 1)q_1(x)$, $p(x) = (x - 1)^2q_2(x)$ or $p(x) = (x - 1)^3(x + 1)$, where q_1 and q_2 are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}(x)$.

If $p(x) = (x - 1)q_1(x)$ then we assume that [6]

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ C & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where $B \in GL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ is quasi-unipotent and 1 is not an eigenvalue of B . Thus

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ C_0 & B_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $B_0 \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is quasi-unipotent by (5) the diffeomorphism φ is given by

$$(26) \quad \varphi(x, Y) = (x + \alpha, Cx + BY + F(x))$$

where $Y = (y, z, w)$ and $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $F(x) = (F_1(x), F_2(x), F_3(x))$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic smooth function.

If -1 is not an eigenvalue of B_0 then B_0 is periodic with period $m = 3, 4$ and 6 , thus $B^{2m} = I$, then by (6) we have

$$(27) \quad \psi(x, Y) = \varphi^{2m}(x, y, Y) = (x + 2m\alpha, C_{2m}x + \alpha(2m) + Y + F_{2m}(x))$$

Choose by [Appendix] a Liouville number α and two sequences of integers $\{k_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{k'_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$|e^{2\pi i k_j \alpha} - e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}| < \frac{4\pi}{(k_j)^j} \text{ and } |e^{2\pi i k'_j \alpha} + 1| < \frac{4\pi}{(k'_j)^j}$$

Again, by [6]. It is easy to see that (T^4, ψ) is simple free T^3 -extension of the translation of T^1 given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^1$ by

$$\psi_0(x) = x + 2m\alpha.$$

Now ψ is a minimal diffeomorphism if the equation (10) has no continuous solution $f(x) = e^{2\pi i[\ell x + G(x)]}$ where $f_\gamma(x, Y) = e^{2\pi i\langle \ell_\gamma, (y, Y) \rangle}$ or equivalently the equation below has no continuous solution G

$$(28) \quad 2m\ell\alpha + G(x + 2m\alpha) - G(x) = \langle \ell_\gamma, C_{2m}x + \alpha(2m) + F_{2m}(x) \rangle$$

If $2m\ell\alpha \neq \langle \ell_\gamma, \alpha(2m) + C_{2m}x \rangle$ then one see that (28) has no continuous solutions G . If $2m\ell\alpha = \langle \ell_\gamma, \alpha(2m) + C_{2m}x \rangle$ becomes

$$(29) \quad G(x + 2m\alpha) - G(x) = \langle \ell_\gamma, F_{2m}(x) \rangle$$

or in Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{G}(k) = \left\langle \ell_\gamma, (e^{2\pi i k \alpha} I - B)^{-1} \hat{F}(k) \right\rangle$$

Consider $F_1 : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ smooth function given by the Fourier transform

$$\hat{F}_1(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & k \neq \pm k'_j \\ (e^{\pm 2\pi i k'_j \alpha} + 1) & k = \pm k'_j \end{cases}$$

as in (12) and the smooth function $F : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $F(x) = (F_2(x), F_3(x))$ given by the Fourier transform

$$\hat{F}(k) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & k \neq \pm k_j \\ (e^{2\pi i k_j \alpha} - e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}})V & k = k_j \\ (e^{-2\pi i k_j \alpha} - e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{m}})\overline{V} & k = -k_j \end{cases}$$

as in (21) the equation (29) has no continuous solution G .

Now if $B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ s & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} A & \mathbf{0} \\ C_0 & B_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and the diffeomorphism given in (26) we can written as

$$\varphi(X, Y) = (AX + F, C_0X + B_0Y + H(x))$$

where $X = (x, y)$, $Y = (z, w)$, $F(x) = (\alpha, F_1(x))$ and $H(x) = (F_2(x), F_3(x))$ are smooth $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic functions. These functions are determined by the Fourier transforms

$$(30) \quad \hat{F}_1(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq \pm k_j \\ 1 + e^{\pm 2\pi i k_j \alpha} & \text{if } k = \pm k_j \end{cases}$$

and

$$(31) \quad \hat{H}(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq \pm k_j \\ (1 + e^{\pm 2\pi i k_j \alpha})V & \text{if } k = \pm k_j \end{cases}$$

where $V = (a, b)$. Let us consider the diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(X, Y) &= \varphi^2(X, Y) = (X + AF(x) + F(x + \alpha), \\ &\quad C_0(2)X + B_0^2Y + C_0F(x) + B_0H(x) + H(x + \alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

and suppose that $\varphi_0(x, y) = (x + \alpha, px - y + F_1(x))$ is minimal. Hence $(T^4, \psi = \varphi^2)$ is a simple free T^2 -extension of the minimal diffeomorphism (T^2, ψ_0) , given on covering $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $\varphi_0^2(x, y) = \psi_0(x, y) = (x + 2\alpha, y + p\alpha - F_1(x) + F_1(x + \alpha))$. By [8] ψ is a minimal diffeomorphism if the equation (10) has no continuous solution $f(X) = e^{2\pi i[\langle \ell, X \rangle + G(X)]}$, where $f_\gamma(X, Y) = e^{2\pi i\langle \ell_\gamma, Y \rangle}$. This is equivalently to the equation

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle \ell, AF(x + \alpha) + F(x) \rangle + G(\psi_0(X)) - G(X) \\ &= \langle \ell_\gamma, C_0(2)X + [B_0^2 - I]Y + C_0F(x) + B_0H(x) + H(x + \alpha) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

If $\langle \ell_\gamma, C_0(2)X + [B_0^2 - I]Y \rangle \neq 0$ then one see that (32) has no continuous solution G . If $\langle \ell_\gamma, C_0(2)X + [B_0^2 - I]Y \rangle = 0$ the equation (32) becomes

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle \ell, AF(x) + F(x + \alpha) \rangle + G(\psi_0(X)) - G(X) \\ &= \langle \ell_\gamma, C_0F(x) + B_0H(x) + H(x + \alpha) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (33) along the fibres of the bundle $(x, y) \rightarrow y$ we get

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle \ell, AF(x) + F(x + \alpha) \rangle + g(x + \alpha) - g(x) \\ &= \langle \ell_\gamma, C_0F(x) + B_0H(x) + H(x + \alpha) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $g(x) = \int_{T^1} G(x, y)dy$, note that $g(x + \alpha) = \int_{T^1} G(\psi(x, y))dy$. Hence, (34) becomes in Fourier coefficients $k \neq 0$

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle \ell, (0, \hat{F}_1(k))(e^{2\pi i k \alpha} - 1) \rangle + \hat{g}(k)(e^{2\pi i k 2\alpha} - 1) = \\ &\quad \langle \ell_\gamma, C_0(0, \hat{F}_1(k)) + [B_0 + e^{2\pi i k \alpha} I] \hat{H}(k) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

A simple computation using (30) and (31) gives

$$(36) \quad \ell_1 + \hat{g}(k) = \langle \ell_\gamma, C_0(e^{2\pi i k \alpha} - 1)^{-1}e_2 + V + a(e^{2\pi i k \alpha} - 1)^{-1}se_2 \rangle$$

Hence the equation (34) has no continuous solution g by the Riemann Lebesgue Lemma. This implies necessarily that (33) has no continuous solution G . This finishes this case.

Now let $p(x) = (x-1)^2 q_2(x)$. We may assume that [6]

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where 1 is not an eigenvalue of B , $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $C \in M(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

The diffeomorphism φ is given by

$$(37) \quad \varphi(X, Y) = (AX + \delta, CX + BY + F(x))$$

where $X = (x, y)$, $Y = (z, w)$, $F(x) = (F_1(x), F_2(x))$ and $\delta = (\alpha, \beta)$. Denoted by $a(X) = AX + \delta$ the minimal affine transformation.

Suppose that B is periodic with period m . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^m(X, Y) &= (a^m(X), Y + C(m)X + \sum_{j=1}^m C(m-j-1)(\alpha, \beta) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m B^{m-j-1} F(x + (j-1)\alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(T^4, \varphi^m = \psi)$ is a simple free T^2 -extension of the diffeomorphism $(T^2, a^m = \psi_0)$, given on covering $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $\psi_0(X) = a^m(X)$. By [8] ψ is a minimal diffeomorphism if the equation (10) has no continuous solution $f(X) = e^{2\pi i[\langle \ell, X \rangle + G(X)]}$, where $f_\gamma(X, Y) = e^{2\pi i \langle \ell_\gamma, Y \rangle}$ or equivalently the equation

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle \ell, a^m(X) - X \rangle + G(a^m(X)) - G(X) \\ &= \left\langle \ell_\gamma, C(m)X + \sum_{j=1}^m C(m-j-1)\delta + \sum_{j=1}^m B^{m-j-1} F(x + (j-1)\alpha) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

has no continuous solution G . If

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \ell, a^m(X) - X \rangle \\ &\neq \left\langle \ell_\gamma, C(m)X + \sum_{j=1}^m C(m-j-1)\delta \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

then one see that (38) has no continuous solution G . If

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \ell, a^m(X) - X \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \ell_\gamma, (C(m)X + \sum_{j=1}^m C(m-j-1)\delta) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

the equation (38) becomes

$$(39) \quad G(a^m(X)) - G(X) = \left\langle \ell_\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^m B^{m-j-1} F(x + (j-1)\alpha) \right\rangle$$

or in Fourier coefficients

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} & \hat{G}(k_1 + nk_2, k_2) e^{2\pi i \langle a^m(0,0), (k_1, k_2) \rangle} - \hat{G}(k_1, k_2) \\ &= \left\langle \ell_\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^m B^{m-j-1} \hat{F}(k_1) e^{2\pi i k \alpha} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

If $k_2 \neq 0$ then by the Riemann Lebesgue Lemma $\hat{G}(k_1, k_2) = 0$ then the equation (40) becomes

$$(41) \quad \hat{G}(k_1, 0) = \left\langle \ell_\gamma, (e^{2\pi i k \alpha} I - B)^{-1} \hat{F}(k_1) \right\rangle$$

take F as in (31) then the equation 38 has no continuous solution G .

If B is not periodic, then

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \mathbf{0} \\ s & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $s \neq 0$. Consider the diffeomorphism as in (37)

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(x, y) &= (AX + \delta, CX + BY + F(x)) \\ &= (AX + \delta, C_1X - z + F_1(x), C_2X + sz - w + F_2(x)) \end{aligned}$$

such that $\varphi_0(x, y, z) = (AX + \delta, C_1X - z + F_1(x))$ is minimal. Hence $(T^4, \psi = \varphi^2)$ is a simple free T^1 -extension of the minimal diffeomorphism (T^3, ψ_0) , given on covering $\mathbb{R}^3$ by $\psi = \varphi_0^2$. By [8] ψ is a minimal diffeomorphism if the equation (10) has no continuous solution $f(X) = e^{2\pi i [\langle \ell, X \rangle] + G(X)}$, where $f_\gamma(X, Y) = e^{2\pi i \ell_\gamma w}$ this equation is equivalent to the equation

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \ell, (a^2(X) - X, C_1(2)X + \delta(2) - F_1(x) + F_1(x + \alpha)) \rangle + G(\psi_0(X)) - G(X) \\ &= \ell_\gamma [C_2(2)X - 2sz + sF_1(x) - F_2(x) + F_2(x + \alpha)] \end{aligned}$$

The above equation has no continuous solution G because $s \neq 0$.

If $p(x) = (x-1)^3(x+1)$ then we assume that [6]

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A \in GL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ is unipotent, i.e., $A = I + N$. Consider the diffeomorphism φ given by

$$(44) \quad \varphi(X, w) = (AX + \alpha, CX + -w + F(x))$$

where $X = (x, y, z)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic smooth function. Then

$$(45) \quad \psi(X, w) = \varphi^2(X, w) = (A^2X + A\alpha + \alpha, [CA - C]X + w + C\alpha - F(x) + F(x + \alpha_1))$$

Thus (T^4, ψ) is a simple free T^1 -extension of the minimal affine transformation (T^3, ψ_0) , given on covering $\mathbb{R}^3$ by $\psi_0(X) = A^2X + A\alpha + \alpha$. Now by [8] ψ is minimal diffeomorphism if the equation (10) has no continuous solution $f(X) = e^{2\pi i[\langle \ell, X \rangle + G(X)]}$, where $f_\gamma(X, w) = e^{2\pi i \ell_\gamma w}$ or equivalently the equation below has no continuous solution G .

$$(46) \quad \langle \ell, [A^2 - I]X + A\alpha + \alpha \rangle + G(\psi_0(X)) - G(X) = \ell_\gamma[[CA - C]X + C\alpha - F(x) + F(x + \alpha_1)]$$

If $\langle \ell, [A^2 - I]X + A\alpha + \alpha \rangle \neq \ell_\gamma[[CA - C]X + C\alpha]$ then one see that (46) has no continuous solution G . If $\langle \ell, [A^2 - I]X + A\alpha + \alpha \rangle = \ell_\gamma[[CA - C]X + C\alpha]$ the equation (46) becomes

$$(47) \quad G(\psi_0(X)) - G(X) = \ell_\gamma[-F(x) + F(x + \alpha_1)]$$

Now if α_1 is a Liouville number and F is a smooth function given by Fourier coefficients as in (12) the equation (47) has no continuous solution G . $\square$

We now give a sufficient condition for a smooth skew-product transformation of a torus to be smoothly conjugate to an affine transformation. We present in the Appendix the definition of Diophantine vectors. We recall that we are restrict to smooth skew-product diffeomorphism φ of the torus $T^n = T^p \times T^{n-p}$ given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$(48) \quad \varphi(X, Y) = (X + \alpha, CX + BY + F(X))$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ and $F : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ is a smooth $\mathbb{Z}^p$ -periodic function. We call $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^p$ the translation vector of φ . To φ there naturally corresponds an affine transformation φ_0 given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\varphi_0(X, Y) = (X + \alpha, CX + BY + \beta_1)$$

where $\beta_1 = Proj(\beta)$, $Proj : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \ker(I - B)^t$ and $\beta = \int_{T^p} F d\mu$, μ being the Haar measure of T^p .

Theorem 3. *Every smooth skew-product diffeomorphism φ of T^n of type (48) quasi-unipotent on homology whose translation vector is Diophantine is smoothly conjugate to its corresponding affine transformation. Moreover if φ is minimal then 1 is only eigenvalue of its linear part.*

Proof. Since α translation vector is Diophantine and B is quasi-unipotent then cohomological equation that

$$F(x) = \beta_1 + G(x + \alpha) - BG(x)$$

has a smooth solution G . [[7], Theorem 2.6]. Thus the diffeomorphism $h : T^n \longrightarrow T^n$ given on the covering $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$h(X, Y) = (x, Y + G(X))$$

conjugate φ with the affine transformation φ_0 . If φ is minimal then so is φ_0 and 1 is the only eigenvalue of B [2]. $\square$

3. APPENDIX.

In this section we recall the definition of Diophantine and Liouville numbers and we show that for every n th root of unity there exist a "fast approximation" by iterations of a Liouville rotation of the circle.

Definition 3.1. *Given $C > 0$ and $r \geq 0$, we say $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ verifies a Diophantine condition of exponent r and constant C if and only if for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has $||q\alpha|| \geq C|q|^{-1-r}$.*

where $||x|| = \inf\{|x - p| : p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Notice that the inequality

$$(49) \quad 4s \leq |e^{2\pi i s} - 1| \leq 2\pi s$$

with $s \in [0, 1]$ implies that the orbit of 1 by the rotation R_α is a bad approximation of number 1 in the sense that

$$(50) \quad |e^{2\pi i q \alpha} - 1| \geq 4C|q|^{-1-r}$$

for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The irrational algebraic numbers are examples of Diophantine numbers.

More generally we say that a vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n > 1$ is a Diophantine vector if there are constants $r > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$(51) \quad ||\langle k, \alpha \rangle|| \geq C|k|^{-r}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

We say that an irrational number is Liouville if it is not Diophantine. In this case the orbit of 1 by the rotation R_α has a good approximation

of the number 1 in the sense that: there exists a sequence $\{q_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|\alpha - \frac{p_j}{q_j}| < C|q_j|^{-(j+1)}$ por (49).

The number $\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{-k!}$ is a Liouville number.

The following proposition shows that for every root of unity ξ_n there exists a rotation R_α whose orbit by 1 has fast approximation to ξ_n .

Proposition 3.2. *Given a family $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{p_s}{q_s}}\}_{s=1}^m$ of roots of unity there exists a Liouville number α and a family $\{\{k_j^s\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\}_{s=1}^m$ of sequences such that*

$$(52) \quad ||k_j^s \alpha - \frac{p_s}{q_s}|| < \frac{2}{(k_j^s)^j}$$

para todo $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$

Proof. We may assume that $q_s > 0$ y $0 < p_s < q_s$. We consider the following Liouville number

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{k!}}$$

where $q = q_1 q_2 \dots q_m$. Now consider the sequence $k_j^s = p_s q_1^{j!} \dots (q_s)^{j!-1} \dots q_m^{j!}$, con $j \in \mathbb{N}$ y $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. We will show that this sequence satisfies (52). In fact, it is easy to see that

$$||k_j^s \alpha - \frac{p_s}{q_s}|| = \inf_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |k_j^s \alpha - \frac{p_s}{q_s} + \ell| \leq \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{k_j^s}{q^{k!}}.$$

Thus

$$(53) \quad ||k_j^s \alpha - \frac{p_s}{q_s}|| \leq \frac{1}{(k_j^s)^j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{(k_j^s)^{j+1}}{q^{k!}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{(k_j^s)^j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(j+1)!}}{q^{k!}} < \frac{1}{(k_j^s)^j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^k} \leq \frac{2}{(k_j^s)^j}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] **Katok, A. and Hasselblat, B.** Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, 1995.
- [2] **Hahn, F.J.** On affine transformations of compact abelian groups. American J. of Math., vol. 85 (1963), 428-446.
- [3] **Luz, R.U. and dos Santos, N.M.** Cohomology-free diffeomorphisms of low-dimension tori. Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys. 18 (1998), 985-1006.
- [4] **Luz, R.U. and dos Santos, N.M.** Erratum to 'Cohomology-free diffeomorphisms of low-dimension tori' (Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys. 18 (1998), 985-1006) Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys. (2006) 26, 1977-1980.

- [5] **Furstenberg, H.** Strict ergodicity and transformations of the torus. American J. of Math., vol. 83 (1961), 573-601.
- [6] **Newman, Morris** Integral matrices. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 45. Academic Press, New York-London, 1972. xvii+224 pp.
- [7] **dos Santos, N.M.** Cohomologically rigid $\mathbb{Z}^p$ -action on low-dimension tori. Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys. 24 (2004), 1041-1050.
- [8] **Parry, William.** Compact Abelian group extensions of discrete dynamical systems. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 13 1969 95–113.
- [9] **Luz, Richard Urzúa** The first cohomology of affine $\mathbb{Z}^p$ -actions on tori and applications to rigidity. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 34 (2003), no. 2, 287–302.

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE, 24020-005 NITEROI, R.J. BRAZIL

E-mail address: nathan@mat.uff.br

UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE NORTE, CASILLA 1280, ANTOFAGASTA, CHILE

E-mail address: rurzua@ucn.cl